

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,863	07/20/2001	Paul E. Dresens	0100.2016-000	8766
21005	7590 06/25/2002			
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133			EXAMINER	
			JIANG, CHEN WEN	
	MA 01742-9133			
, .			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·			
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	09/909,863	DRESENS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chen-Wen Jiang	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1:13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	<u>1ay 2002</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pi Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-28,51,56-64 and 76-78</u> is/are pendi					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28,51,56-64 and 76-78</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
Application Papers	cicolon requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional application has been rece	eived.			
Attachment(s)		4H4/01 121.			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6.8	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/909,863

Art Unit: 3744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28, 51, 56-64 and 76-78 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,2,3,5,15,16,17,18,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,14,22,23,24,25,26,27,56-64 and 76-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eacobacci Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,775,109).

Eacobacci Jr. et al. disclose a method for regulating the cooldown of multiple cryogenic refrigerators supplied with compressed refrigerant from a common compressor. A group of helium compressors are sometimes manifold together to act as a single source of refrigerant to multiple cryopumps. Fis.1 show the prior art cryogenic refrigerator and Fig.3 shows the distribution system. Referring to Fig.3, a plurality of refrigerators 110 receive compressed helium from a single compressor 112 through a manifold 114. A network terminal 116 is electrically coupled through a control line 118 with each of the refrigerators 110. The network terminal 116 is capable of measuring the temperature of each of the refrigerators 110 and determining whether any of the refrigerators 110 have a temperature below a triggering limit. The network terminal 116 governs the refrigerators within the plurality 110 to increase or

Application/Control Number: 09/909,863

Art Unit: 3744

decrease the supply of helium that they process depending upon whether they process a temperature above or below the triggering point. Typically, each refrigerator will receive a prorated portion (flow rate; see examples) of the gas supply which can be calculated (determining, for each of the refrigerators, an allocation of the refrigerant based on the availability of the refrigerant, the aggregated demand and the individual needs of the refrigerators) as the refrigerator's maximum consumption (determining a demand of the refrigerant by each of the plurality of refrigerators) multiplied by the ratio of the available supply (determining an available quantity of the refrigerant) over the present aggregate maximum consumption of all refrigerators (aggregating the demand from refrigerators). Redistributing the allocation of the refrigerant over time by determining the allocation of the refrigerant is also shown in the example by adding the third refrigerator. The flow rate computation (scfm) are shown and disclosed in these examples (cols.5-6) and also disclosed in column 4. The governing of cryogenic refrigerator includes adjusting the speed of the displacer.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4,28,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eacobacci Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,775,109) in view of Derosier (U.S. Patent Number 5,551,248).

Eacobacci Jr. et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, Eacobacci Jr. et al. do not disclose a slave controller and incrementally increasing control parameters.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,863

Art Unit: 3744

Derosier discloses a slave controller and incrementally increasing control parameters in the same field of endeavor as an obvious option in the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Eacobacci Jr. et al. with a slave controller and incrementally increasing control parameters in view of Derosier.

6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eacobacci Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,775,109) in view of Morishita et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,233,948).

Eacobacci Jr. et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, Eacobacci Jr. et al. do not disclose a hierarchy state. Morishita et al. disclose a hierarchy state in the same field of endeavor as a control in the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Eacobacci Jr. et al. with a hierarchy state in view of Morishita et al.

7. Claims 12,21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eacobacci Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,775,109).

Eacobacci Jr. et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed. Dependent claims 12,21 does not appear to contain any feature which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, involve an inventive step since they are also partly revealed in the prior art or they show mere constructional details which would be within the capabilities of the person skilled in the art. Also, upon a close review of applicant's specification, it appears that the claimed parameters do not have any criticality and/or lead to any new and unexpected results. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected the claimed parameters for the calculation in the control stage since these particular parameters

Art Unit: 3744

provide control calculations that are no better or provided improved performance over that which is commonplace in the prior art.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (703) 308-0275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648.

Chen-Wen Jiang Cours Q

June 20, 2002